



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,189	01/29/2001	Sheldon Sturgis	13578.1US01	9119
23552	7590	04/27/2004	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			PASCUA, JES F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	25

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/772,189

Applicant(s)

STURGIS ET AL.

Examiner

Jes F. Pascua

Art Unit

3727

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 10 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by British Patent No. 1 598 843.

It is brought to applicant's attention that page 1, line 20, of British Patent No. 1 598 843 discloses that rice is just one of the many contents that may be packed within the bag. The rice that may be packaged within the British Patent No. 1 598 843 bag meets the recitation "an interior region containing seed".

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent No. 1 598 843 and Onishi (Japanese Patent No. 0023955).

British Patent No. 1 598 843 discloses the claimed device except for the handling hole 26 having additional material and a ring. Onishi discloses that it is known to

provide additional material and a ring to an analogous handling hole. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the handling hole of British Patent No. 1 598 843 with the additional material and ring of Onishi, in order to reinforce the handling hole.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent No. 1 598 843 and Schneider et al.

British Patent No. 1 598 843 discloses the claimed device except for the pouring region having a plurality of perforations. Schneider et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a plurality of perforations 16 in an analogous pouring region 27. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pouring region of British Patent No. 1 598 843 with the plurality of perforations of Schneider et al., in order to define a pouring hole.

6. Claims 1-3, 8-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mykol and British Patent No. 1 598 843.

Mykol discloses the claimed invention except that Mykol discloses the bag containing water instead of seed. British Patent No. 1 598 843 shows that a bag carrying rice is an equivalent structure known in the art. See page 1, lines 9-21 of British Patent No. 1 598 843. Therefore, because these two pourable products were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute rice for water in the bag of Mykol.

7. Claims 1, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbridge and British Patent No. 1 598 843.

Burbridge discloses the claimed invention except that Burbridge discloses the bag containing water instead of seed. British Patent No. 1 598 843 shows that a bag carrying rice is an equivalent structure known in the art. See page 1, lines 9-21 of British Patent No. 1 598 843. Therefore, because these two pourable products were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute rice for water in the bag of Burbridge.

### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/03 regarding British Patent No. 1 598 843 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reason set forth above.

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Mykol and Burbridge references have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3727

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 703-308-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

  
Jes F. Pascua  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3727

JFP  
April 26, 2004